A simple algorithm to find the L-curve corner in the regularization of inverse problems

Alessandro Cultrera*

INRIM - Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica,

strada delle Cacce 91, Turin 10135, Italy

Luca Callegaro

INRIM - Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica,

strada delle Cacce 91,Turin 10135, Italy

(Dated: August 17, 2016)

arXiv:1608.04571v1 [cs.NA] 16 Aug 2016

Abstract

We propose a simple algorithm devoted to locate the "corner" of an L-curve, a function often used to chose the correct regularization parameter for the solution of ill-posed problems. The algorithm involves the Menger curvature of a circumcircle and the golden section search method. It efficiently locates the regularization parameter value corresponding to the maximum positive curvature region of the L-curve. As an example, the application of the algorithm to the data processing of an electrical resistance tomography experiment on thin conductive films is reported.

Keywords: inverse problem, ill-posed problem, regularization parameter, L-curve, golden section search, Menger curvature, electrical resistance tomography.

* a.cultrera@inrim.it

I. INTRODUCTION

The solution $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ of an ill-posed inverse problem is often formulated in terms of residuals

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left\{ ||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}||^2 \right\}, \quad \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad m > n \tag{1}$$

where the quantity $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}$ is the vector of residuals between the experimental data vector $\boldsymbol{b}$ and the reconstructed data $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}$ for a given $\boldsymbol{x}$. Regularization techniques are applied to make the problem less sensitive to the noise of $\boldsymbol{b}$ and find a stable solution. One popular regularization method is to replace the system 1 with

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_\lambda = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left\{ ||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}||^2 + \lambda \boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

where the regularization term $\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{x})$ represents a cost function, which may include some prior information about the solution. The scalar factor λ is the *regularization parameter*, serving as weighing factor of $\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The choice of λ is crucial for the solution to be meaningful. As an example, we consider the regularization method named after Tikhonov [14], in which $\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{x}) = ||\boldsymbol{x}||^2$. Several methods (see [2, p. 44] and references therein) have been developed in order to find an optimal tuning of λ for a given problem. Of particular interest is the L-curve method [6]. The L-curve is a two-dimensional curve, parametric in λ, defined by points with cartesian coordinates

$$P(\lambda) = (\xi(\lambda), \eta(\lambda)) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \xi(\lambda) = \log ||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}||^2 \\ \eta(\lambda) = \log ||\boldsymbol{x}||^2 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The point of maximum positive curvature $P(\lambda_{\text{opt}})$, the "corner", can be associated to the optimal reconstruction parameter λ_{opt}. The underlying concept is that the "corner" represents a compromise between the fitting to the data and the regularization of the solution [9]. Numerical search algorithms have been proposed for the identification of λ_{opt}; among them we mention the splines method [6, 8], the triangle method [4] and the L-ribbon method [3]. Here we propose an alternative method which is based on an estimation of the local curvature of the L-curve from three sampled points (which define a circle), and a sampling update rule based on the golden section search. The method attempts to reduce the computational effort by minimizing the number of sampled points of the L-curve explicitly computed. A detailed algorithm is described; an application to a reconstruction problem in electrical resistance tomography is reported.

II. ALGORITHM

The proposed algorithm 1 is written in pseudo-code. The algorithm calls two functions. The function P = `l_curve_P(`λ`)` is based on the the specific regularization problem being solved; it is assumed that at each call, given as input the regularization parameter λ it solves the system (1) and provides as output the point $P(\lambda)$, that is the coordinates $\xi(\lambda)$ and $\eta(\lambda)$ of the L-curve. The function $C_k =$ `menger(`P_j`,` P_k`,` P_ℓ`)` is defined below in Sec. II A. The algorithm is iterative and identifies $\lambda_{\rm opt}$ with the golden section search, described in Sec. II B.

A. Curvature

The function $C_k =$ `menger(`P_j`,` P_k`,` P_ℓ`)` is based on the definition of the curvature of a circle by three points given by Menger [12] . In our case three values $\lambda_j < \lambda_k < \lambda_\ell$ of the regularization parameter identify three points $P(\lambda_j)$, $P(\lambda_k)$ and $P(\lambda_\ell)$ on the L-curve. Here we follow the notation of 3 for the coordinates of a generic point $P(\lambda)$. For sake of simplicity of notation the substitution 4 is made:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\lambda_i) &\to \xi_i, \\ \eta(\lambda_i) &\to \eta_i, \\ P(\lambda_i) &\to P_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

So we define a signed curvature C_k of the circumcircle as

$$C_k = \frac{2 \cdot (\xi_j\eta_k + \xi_k\eta_\ell + \xi_\ell\eta_j - \xi_j\eta_\ell - \xi_k\eta_j - \xi_\ell\eta_k)}{\left(\overline{P_jP_k} \cdot \overline{P_kP_\ell} \cdot \overline{P_\ell P_j)}\right)^{1/2}}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{P_jP_k} &= (\xi_k - \xi_j)^2 + (\eta_k - \eta_j)^2, \\ \overline{P_kP_\ell} &= (\xi_\ell - \xi_k)^2 + (\eta_\ell - \eta_k)^2, \\ \overline{P_\ell P_j} &= (\xi_j - \xi_\ell)^2 + (\eta_j - \eta_\ell)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

are the euclidean distances between the sampled L-curve points. Note that we choose to index the curvature with the intermediate index (k) of the three points.

B. Golden Section Search

The algorithm is initialized by assigning the search interval $[\lambda_1, \lambda_4]$. Two other values λ_2 and λ_3 are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= (\lambda_4 + \varphi \cdot \lambda_1)/(1+\varphi), \\ \lambda_3 &= \lambda_1 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_2), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\varphi = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden section[11] . Four values of λ define four points on the L-curve and allow to calculate two curvatures, C_2 from $\{P(\lambda_1),\ P(\lambda_2),\ P(\lambda_3)\}$ and C_3 from $\{P(\lambda_2),\ P(\lambda_3),\ P(\lambda_4)\}$. The curvatures C_2 and C_3 are compared; consistent reassignment and recalculation are done in order to work at each iteration with four points $P(\lambda_1) \dots P(\lambda_4)$. The algorithm terminates when the search interval $[\lambda_1, \lambda_4]$ is smaller than a specified threshold ϵ and returns λ_{opt}.

Note that it may happen that the curvature C_3 associated to the right-hand circle is negative at the initial stage of the search, since C_k is defined with sign in 5. Moreover by definition the corner must always correspond to a positive curvature and it lays on the left-side of the plot. For these reasons the algorithm performs a check, and while $C_3 < 0$ the search extreme λ_1 is kept fixed, λ_4 is shifted toward smaller values and λ_2 and λ_3 are recalculated. The condition on C_3 is strong enough that even in case of both negative curvatures it guarantees the convergence toward the corner.

Some considerations can be done: (a) according to the golden section search method, the algorithm needs to recalculate only one $P(\lambda)$ at each iteration (except for the first iteration), the other can be simply reassigned; this limit the calculation effort; (b) as $P(\lambda_1)$ and $P(\lambda_4)$ are distant at the first iterations, C_2 and C_3 are just rough approximations of the curvature of the L-curve in different regions, but become more accurate as the distance between the search extremes decreases.

Algorithm 1 L-curve corner search

Initialize λ_1 and λ_4; {search extremes}

Assign ϵ; {termination threshold}

$\varphi \leftarrow (1+\sqrt{5})/2$; {golden section}

$\lambda_2 \leftarrow (\lambda_4 + \varphi \cdot \lambda_1)/(1+\varphi)$;

$\lambda_3 \leftarrow \lambda_1 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)$;

for $i = 1$ to 4 **do**

$P_i \leftarrow$`l_curve_P`(λ_i); {func. `l_curve_P` returns 3}

end for

repeat

$C_2 \leftarrow$`menger`(P_1,P_2,P_3); {func. `menger` calls 5}

$C_3 \leftarrow$`menger`(P_2,P_3,P_4);

repeat

$\lambda_4 \leftarrow \lambda_3$; $P_4 \leftarrow P_3$;

$\lambda_3 \leftarrow \lambda_2$; $P_3 \leftarrow P_2$;

$\lambda_2 \leftarrow (\lambda_4 + \varphi \cdot \lambda_1)/(1+\varphi)$;

$P_2 \leftarrow$`l_curve_P`(λ_2);

$C_3 \leftarrow$`menger`(P_2,P_3,P_4);

until $C_3 > 0$

if $C_2 > C_3$ **then**

$\lambda_{\text{opt}} \leftarrow \lambda_2$; {store λ_{opt}}

$\lambda_4 \leftarrow \lambda_3$; $P_4 \leftarrow P_3$;

$\lambda_3 \leftarrow \lambda_2$; $P_3 \leftarrow P_2$;

$\lambda_2 \leftarrow (\lambda_4 + \varphi \cdot \lambda_1)/(1+\varphi)$;

$P_2 \leftarrow$`l_curve_P`(λ_2); {only P_2 is recalculated}

else

$\lambda_{\text{opt}} \leftarrow \lambda_3$

$\lambda_1 \leftarrow \lambda_2$; $P_1 \leftarrow P_2$;

$\lambda_2 \leftarrow \lambda_3$; $P_2 \leftarrow P_3$;

$\lambda_3 \leftarrow \lambda_1 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)$;

$P_3 \leftarrow$`l_curve_P`(λ_3); {only P_3 is recalculated}

end if

until $(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)/\lambda_4 < \epsilon$

return λ_{opt}



(a) Iteration 1 (b) Iteration 6 (c) Iteration 28

FIG. 1. The proposed algorithm at various iterations. The reference L-curve is reported as a solid line. Solid circles represent the points $P(\lambda)$ evaluated at the present iteration. Empty circles represent the points evaluated at past iterations. In 1a arrows indicate the initial search extremes. In 1c the four points are no more resolved, see Fig. 2 for clarity.

III. EXAMPLE OF APPLICATION

In the following we show an example of application of the algorithm to electrical resistance tomography [10, 13]. This example aims to image the sheet conductance spatial distribution of tin-oxide films on glass substrates having circular geometry and with electrical contacts on the sample boundary as shown in Fig. 4a. Four-terminal resistance measurements among the contacts are performed with a scanning setup; the values are the elements of the data vector $\boldsymbol{b}$. A detailed description of the experiment is given in [5].

The reconstructed image (shown in Fig. 4b) is obtained by solving a discretized Laplace equation. Tikhonov regularization is applied. Two software packages of common usage in the solution of ERT problems (EIDORS [1], Regularisation Tools [7]) are here employed running under Matlab environment. EIDORS routines are used to generate a two-dimensional

circular mesh (2304 elements) with 16 contact points (corresponding to a $\boldsymbol{b}$ of size 208) on the mesh boundary, to discretize the Laplace equation and thus obtain the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. The library Regularisation Tools is employed to implement the function `L_curve_P(λ)`. Other EIDORS routines are used to graphically render the solution $\boldsymbol{x}$ as in Fig. 4b.

The proposed algorithm is implemented in Matlab as well. Figures 1 and 2 show the evolution of the search for λ_{opt} performed by the algorithm. These figures display also a full L-curve obtained by dense sampling of `L-_curve_P(λ)` as a reference.

The algorithm is run by choosing as initial search extremes $\lambda_1 = 1{\cdot}10^{-10}$ and $\lambda_4 = 1{\cdot}10^{-3}$. Fig. 1 reports three snapshots of the running algorithm. Empty circles represent points visited at previous iterations, while filled circles represent the four points $P_1 \dots P_4$ of the given iteration. Fig. 2 shows a detail of the last iteration. The reader can notice in Fig. 2a the L-curve the "corner". Fig. 2b shows the $P(\lambda_i)$ points of the last iteration, where the magnification factor does not allow anymore to appreciate the curvature. Fig. 3 shows the



FIG. 2. Detail of the last iteration. In 2a it is visible the "corner" of the present L-curve. In 2b the four $P(\lambda)$ are resolved. The label indicates λ_{opt}.

evolution of the algorithm towards convergence. For sake of generality, the search extremes were chosen very far from each other; when knowledge about the problem allows to restrict the λ search interval, the number of iterations can be reduced. The λ_{opt} returned by the algorithm with a $\epsilon = 1\%$ is $1.84 \cdot 10^{-6}$. This result is used to perform the final image



FIG. 3. Behaviour of the algorithm versus iteration number for the present data with a threshold $\epsilon = 1\%$. The steep slope corresponds to lines 9-18 of the algorithm 1. The last point (iteration 28) corresponds to λ_{opt}.

reconstruction shown in Fig. 4b.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed algorithm allows, for a given inverse problem having the form 1, the determination of the regularization parameter λ_{opt} corresponding to the maximum positive curvature of the L-curve. The algorithm is designed for maximum simplicity of implementation on already existing solvers. In a test on real electrical resistance tomography problem, convergence is achieved in a couple of dozen iterations, each iteration corresponding to a redetermination of 2.

[1] Andy Adler and William RB Lionheart. EIDORS: Towards a community-based extensible software base for EIT. In *Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Biomedical Applications of Electrical Impedance Tomography*, 2005.



(a) Scheme of the sample. Colors represent nominal values of sheet conductance.

(b) Sheet conductance map reconstructed from the data with $\lambda = 1.84 \cdot 10^{-6}$.

FIG. 4. Sheet conductance map obtained with the optimal regularization parameter λ_{opt} returned by our algorithm.

[2] Andrea A Almasy. *Inverse problems in classical and quantum physics*. PhD thesis, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 2009.

[3] Daniela Calvetti, Gene Howard Golub, and Lothar Reichel. Estimation of the L-curve via lanczos bidiagonalization. *BIT Numer. Math.*, 39(4):603–619, 1999.

[4] J Longina Castellanos, Susana Gómez, and Valia Guerra. The triangle method for finding the corner of the L-curve. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 43(4):359–373, 2002.

[5] A. Cultrera and L. Callegaro. Electrical Resistance Tomography of conductive thin films. *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, PP(99):1–7, 2016.

[6] Per Christian Hansen. Analysis of discrete ill-posed problems by means of the L-curve. *SIAM Rev.*, 34(4):561–580, 1992.

[7] Per Christian Hansen. Regularization tools: a MATLAB package for analysis and solution of discrete ill-posed problems. *Numer. Algorithms*, 6(1):1–35, 1994.

[8] Per Christian Hansen, Toke Koldborg Jensen, and Giuseppe Rodriguez. An adaptive pruning algorithm for the discrete L-curve criterion. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 198(2):483–492, 2007.

[9] Per Christian Hansen and Dianne Prost O'Leary. The use of the L-curve in the regularization of discrete ill-posed problems. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 14(6):1487–1503, 1993.

[10] D. S. Holder. *Electrical Impedance Tomography: Methods, History and Applications*. Series in Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering. Institute of Physics Publishing, Ltd, London, UK, 2005.

[11] Jack Kiefer. Sequential minimax search for a maximum. *P. Am. Math. Soc.*, 4(3):502–506, 1953.

[12] Karl Menger. Untersuchungen über Allgemeine Metrik. *Math. Ann.*, 103(1):466–501, 1930.

[13] Jin Keun Seo and Eung Je Woo. *Nonlinear Inverse Problems in Imaging*, chapter Electrical Impedance Tomography, pages 195–249. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2013.

[14] Andrei Nikolaevich Tikhonov, AV Goncharsky, VV Stepanov, and Anatoly G Yagola. *Numerical methods for the solution of ill-posed problems*. Springer Science & Business Media, New York, US, 2013.